

Finite – Time Ruin Probability for Sequences of Dependent Random Variables with Interest

Bui Khoi Dam¹ and Phung Duy Quang²

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to build an exact formula for ruin probability of generalized risk processes under interest force with assumption that claims and premiums are assumed to be positive-valued random variables and interests are assumed to be non - negative- valued random variables (claims, premiums and interests are assumed to be independent). In addition, they are homogeneous Markov chains. This situation is quite realistic for many situations. An exact formula for ruin (non-ruin) probabilities is derived in this paper.

Keywords: Ruin probability, Non- Ruin probability, Homogeneous Markov chain

1. Introduction

In the risk theory, there has been a major interest in actuarial science. Since a large portion of the surplus of insurance business from investment income, actuaries have been studying ruin problems under risk models with rates of interest. For example, Teugels and Sundt [9], [10] studied the effects of constant rate on the ruin probability under the compound Poisson risk model. Yang [12] established both exponential and non – exponential upper bounds for ruin probabilities in a risk model with constant interest force and independent premiums and claims. Cai [1], [2] investigated the ruin probabilities in two risk models, with independent premiums and claims and used a first-order autoregressive process to model the rates of in interest.

¹ Applied Mathematics and Informatics School, Hanoi University of Science and Technology, Ha noi, Viet Nam. Tel: (084) 0912083250. Email: quangmathftu@yahoo.com

² Foreign Trade University, Ha noi, Viet Nam.

Cai and Dickson [3] obtained Lundberg inequalities for ruin probabilities in two discrete-time risk process with a Markov chain interest model and independent premiums and claims. Promislow, S., D. [5], given upper bounds for ruin in a process with dependent increments. Xu, L. and Wang, R. [11] established both exponential and non – exponential upper bounds for ruin probabilities in a autoregressive risk model with Markov chain interest rate. However, those results is only given upper bounds for finite-time probabilities and ultimate ruin probability that they did not provide an exact formula for finite-time probabilities.

Claude Lefèvre and Stéphane Loisel [4] studied the problem of ruin in the classical compound binomial and compound Poisson risk models. Their primary purpose is to extend those models which is an exact formula derived by Pircard and Lefèvre [4] for the probability of (non-ruin) ruin within finite time.

However, Claude Lefèvre and Stéphane Loisel [4] did not provide an exact formula for ruin probability of generalized risk processes under interest force with surplus process $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 1}$ of insurance company written as

$$U_t = U_{t-1}(1 + I_t) + X_t - Y_t; t = 1, 2, \dots$$

(1.1)

or

$$U_t = (U_{t-1} + X_t)(1 + I_t) - Y_t; t = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1.2)$$

where $U_0 = u$ is initial surplus, u and t are positive integer numbers, $X = \{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ are premiums of the company, $Y = \{Y_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ are claim of the company, X_i and Y_j take values in a finite set of positive numbers; $I = \{I_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ are interests of company, I_i take values in a finite set of non – negative numbers. X , Y and I are assumed to be independent.

In [7], Nguyen Thi Thuy Hong built an exact formula for ruin (non-ruin) probability for model:

$$U_t = u + \sum_{i=1}^t X_i - \sum_{i=1}^t Y_i \quad (1.3)$$

with u, t, X_i, Y_i are positive integer number.

Phung Duy Quang [8] extended the result of Nguyen Thi Thuy Hong, the author built an exact formula for ruin (non-ruin) probability for model:

$$U_t = u(1+r)^t + \sum_{i=1}^t X_i(1+r)^{t-i+1} - \sum_{i=1}^t Y_i(1+r)^{t-1} \quad (1.4)$$

with u, t, X_i, Y_i are positive integer number, r is positive constant interest.

In [6], Bui Khoi Dam and Phung Duy Quang built an exact formula for ruin (non-ruin) probability for model (1.1) and (1.2) with $X = \{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ and $Y = \{Y_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ are independent identically or non identically distributed positive-valued random variables; $I = \{I_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ are independent identically or non identically distributed non-negative-valued random variables. In addition, X, Y and I are assumed to be independent.

The aim of this paper is to build an exact formula for finite time ruin (non-ruin) probability of model (1.1) and (1.2) with $X = \{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$, $Y = \{Y_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ and $I = \{I_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ are homogeneous Markov chains. In addition, X, Y and I are assumed to be independent. This result also extends the ruin probability to the general model, which is given in [6].

2. Finite – Time Ruin Probability in a Generalized Risk Processes under Interest Force with sequences of dependent random variables

Let model (1.1). We assume that:

Assumption 2.1. u, t are positive integer numbers.

Assumption 2.2. $X = \{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a homogeneous Markov chain, X_n take values in a finite set of positive numbers $G_X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_M\}$ ($0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_M$) with $p_{ij} = P(X_{m+1} = x_j | X_m = x_i)$, ($m \in N, x_i \in G_X, x_j \in G_X$) where

$$0 \leq p_{ij} \leq 1, \sum_{j=1}^M p_{ij} = 1.$$

$$\text{In addition, } P(X_1 = x_i) = p_i (x_i \in G_X), 0 \leq p_i \leq 1, \sum_{i=1}^M p_i = 1.$$

Assumption 2.3. $Y = \{Y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a homogeneous Markov chain, Y_n take values in a finite set of positive numbers $G_Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N\}$ ($0 < y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_N$) with $q_{rs} = P(Y_{m+1} = y_s | Y_m = y_r)$, ($m \in N, y_r \in G_Y, y_s \in G_Y$) where

$$0 \leq q_{rs} \leq 1, \sum_{s=1}^N q_{rs} = 1.$$

$$\text{In addition, } P(Y_1 = y_i) = q_i (y_i \in G_Y), 0 \leq q_i \leq 1, \sum_{i=1}^N q_i = 1.$$

Assumption 2.4. $I = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a homogeneous Markov chain, I_n take values in a finite set of non - negative numbers $G_I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_R\}$ ($0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_R$) with $r_{uv} = P(I_{m+1} = r_v | I_m = r_u)$, ($m \in N, r_u \in G_I, r_v \in G_I$) where $0 \leq r_{uv} \leq 1, \sum_{s=1}^R r_{uv} = 1$.

$$\text{In addition, } r_k = P(I_1 = i_k) (i_k \in G_I), 0 \leq r_k \leq 1, \sum_{k=1}^R r_k = 1.$$

Assumption 2.5. The sequences $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, $\{Y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{I_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ are assumed to be independent.

From (1.1), we have:

$$U_t = u \cdot \prod_{k=1}^t (1 + I_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \left((X_k - Y_k) \prod_{j=k+1}^t (1 + I_j) \right) + X_t - Y_t. \quad (2.1)$$

where throughout this paper, we denote $\prod_{t=a}^b z_t = 1$ and $\sum_{t=a}^b z_t = 0$ if $a > b$

and $A \stackrel{as}{=} B$ if $P(A \Delta B) = 0$ with $A \Delta B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$.

Supposing that the ruin time is defined by $T_u = \inf \{j : U_j < 0\}$, where $\inf \emptyset = \infty$.

We define the finite time ruin (non-ruin) probabilities of model (1.1) with assumption 2.1 to assumption 2.4, respectively, by

$$\psi_t^{(1)}(u) = P(T_u \leq t) = P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^t (U_j < 0)\right), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\varphi_t^{(1)}(u) = 1 - \psi_t^{(1)}(u) = P(T_u \geq t + 1) = P\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^t (U_j \geq 0)\right). \quad (2.3)$$

Now, we give an exact formula for finite time ruin (non-ruin) probability of model (1.1).

Theorem 2.1. If model (1.1) satisfies assumptions 2.1 to 2.5, then finite time non-ruin probability of model (1.1) is defined by

$$\varphi_t^{(1)}(u) = \sum_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t=1}^R \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t=1}^M r_{c_1} r_{c_2} \dots r_{c_t} p_{m_1} p_{m_2} \dots p_{m_t} \left(\sum_{1 \leq n_1 \leq g_1} \sum_{1 \leq n_2 \leq g_2} \dots \sum_{1 \leq n_t \leq g_t} q_{n_1} q_{n_2} \dots q_{n_t} \right), \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$g_1 = \max \left\{ n_1 : y_{n_1} \leq \min \left\{ u \prod_{k=1}^1 (1 + i_{c_k}) + x_{m_1}, y_N \right\} \right\},$$

$$g_2 = \max \left\{ n_2 : y_{n_2} \leq \min \left\{ u \prod_{k=1}^2 (1 + i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^1 (x_{m_k} - y_{n_k}) \prod_{j=k+1}^2 (1 + i_{c_j}) + x_{m_2}, y_N \right\} \right\},$$

...

$$g_t = \max \left\{ n_t : y_{n_t} \leq \min \left\{ u \prod_{k=1}^t (1 + i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} (x_{m_k} - y_{n_k}) \prod_{j=k+1}^t (1 + i_{c_j}) + x_{m_t}, y_N \right\} \right\}$$

Proof.

Firstly, we have

$$A := \bigcap_{j=1}^t (U_j \geq 0)$$

$$= \left(Y_1 \leq u \prod_{k=1}^1 (1 + I_k) + X_1 \right) \cap$$

$$\left(Y_2 \leq u \prod_{k=1}^2 (1 + I_k) + \sum_{k=1}^1 (X_k - Y_k) \prod_{j=k+1}^2 (1 + I_j) + X_2 \right) \cap$$

$$\left(Y_3 \leq u \prod_{k=1}^3 (1 + I_k) + \sum_{k=1}^2 (X_k - Y_k) \prod_{j=k+1}^3 (1 + I_j) + X_3 \right) \cap \dots$$

$$\dots \cap \left(Y_t \leq u \prod_{k=1}^t (1 + I_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} (X_k - Y_k) \prod_{j=k+1}^t (1 + I_j) + X_t \right). \quad (2.5)$$

By assumption 2.4, we put $I_1 = i_{c_1}, I_2 = i_{c_2}, \dots, I_t = i_{c_t}$ with $i_{c_1}, i_{c_2}, \dots, i_{c_t}$ being non - negative numbers and satisfy condition: $0 \leq i_{c_1}, i_{c_2}, \dots, i_{c_t} \leq i_R$.

$$\text{Let } A_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_t} = (I_1 = i_{c_1}) \cap (I_2 = i_{c_2}) \cap \dots \cap (I_t = i_{c_t}).$$

Since $I = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a homogeneous Markov chain then

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_t}) &= P\left[(I_1 = i_{c_1}) \cap (I_2 = i_{c_2}) \cap \dots \cap (I_t = i_{c_t})\right] \\ &= P(I_1 = i_{c_1}) \cdot P(I_2 = i_{c_2} | I_1 = i_{c_1}) \dots P(I_t = i_{c_t} | I_{t-1} = i_{c_{t-1}}) = r_{c_1} r_{c_1 c_2} \dots r_{c_{t-1} c_t} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

By Assumption 2.2, we put $X_1 = x_{m_1}, X_2 = x_{m_2}, \dots, X_t = x_{m_t}$ with $x_{m_1}, x_{m_2}, \dots, x_{m_t}$ being positive numbers and satisfy condition: $0 < x_{m_1}, x_{m_2}, \dots, x_{m_t} \leq x_M$.

$$\text{Let } A_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t} = (X_1 = x_{m_1}) \cap (X_2 = x_{m_2}) \cap \dots \cap (X_t = x_{m_t}).$$

Since $X = \{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a homogeneous Markov chain then

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t}) &= P\left[(X_1 = x_{m_1}) \cap (X_2 = x_{m_2}) \cap \dots \cap (X_t = x_{m_t})\right] \\ &= P(X_1 = x_{m_1}) \cdot P(X_2 = x_{m_2} | X_1 = x_{m_1}) \dots P(X_t = x_{m_t} | X_{t-1} = x_{m_{t-1}}) \\ &= p_{m_1} p_{m_1 m_2} \dots p_{m_{t-1} m_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Firstly, we consider $I_1 = i_{c_1} (c_1 = \overline{1, R})$ then (2.5) is given

$$\begin{aligned}
 A = & \bigcup_{c_1=1}^{as, R} (I_1 = i_{c_1}) \cap \left(\left(Y_1 \leq u \prod_{c_1=1}^1 (1 + i_{c_1}) + X_1 \right) \cap \right. \\
 & \left(Y_2 \leq u(1 + i_{c_1}) \prod_{k=2}^2 (1 + I_k) + \sum_{k=1}^1 (X_k - Y_k) \prod_{j=k+1}^2 (1 + I_j) + X_2 \right) \cap \\
 & \left(Y_3 \leq u(1 + i_{c_1}) \prod_{k=2}^3 (1 + I_k) + \sum_{k=1}^2 (X_k - Y_k) \prod_{j=k+1}^3 (1 + I_j) + X_3 \right) \cap \dots \\
 & \dots \cap \left(Y_t \leq u(1 + i_{c_1}) \prod_{k=2}^t (1 + I_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} (X_k - Y_k) \prod_{j=k+1}^t (1 + I_j) + X_t \right) \Big)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we consider $I_2 = i_{c_2}, \dots, I_t = i_{c_t} (c_2, \dots, c_t = \overline{1, R})$, (2.5) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 A = & \bigcup_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t=1}^{as, R} \left\{ (I_1 = i_{c_1}) \cap (I_2 = i_{c_2}) \cap \dots \cap (I_t = i_{c_t}) \right\} \cap \\
 & \left(\left(Y_1 \leq u \prod_{k=1}^1 (1 + i_{c_k}) + X_1 \right) \cap \right. \\
 & \left(Y_2 \leq u \prod_{k=1}^2 (1 + i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^1 (X_k - Y_k) \prod_{j=k+1}^2 (1 + i_{c_j}) + X_2 \right) \cap \\
 & \left(Y_3 \leq u \prod_{k=1}^3 (1 + i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^2 (X_k - Y_k) \prod_{j=k+1}^3 (1 + i_{c_j}) + X_3 \right) \cap \dots \\
 & \dots \cap \left(Y_t \leq u \prod_{k=1}^t (1 + i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} (X_k - Y_k) \prod_{j=k+1}^t (1 + i_{c_j}) + X_t \right) \Big).
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider $X_1 = x_{m_1} (m_1 = \overline{1, M})$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 A = & \bigcup_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t=1}^{as, R} \left\{ (I_1 = i_{c_1}) \cap (I_2 = i_{c_2}) \cap \dots \cap (I_t = i_{c_t}) \right\} \\
 & \cap \left(\bigcup_{m_1=1}^M (X_1 = x_{m_1}) \cap \left(\left(Y_1 \leq u \prod_{k=1}^1 (1 + i_{c_k}) + x_{m_1} \right) \cap \right. \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_t}^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t} &\stackrel{as}{=} \left(Y_1 \leq u \prod_{k=1}^1 (1 + i_{c_k}) + x_{m_1} \right) \\
 &\cap \left(Y_2 \leq u \prod_{k=1}^2 (1 + i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^1 (x_{m_k} - Y_k) \prod_{j=k+1}^2 (1 + i_{c_j}) + x_{m_2} \right) \cap \\
 &\left(Y_3 \leq u \prod_{k=1}^3 (1 + i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^2 (x_{m_k} - Y_k) \prod_{j=k+1}^3 (1 + i_{c_j}) + x_{m_3} \right) \cap \dots \\
 &\dots \cap \left(Y_t \leq u \prod_{k=1}^t (1 + i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} (x_{m_k} - Y_k) \prod_{j=k+1}^t (1 + i_{c_j}) + x_{m_t} \right).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.9}$$

By assumption 2.3, we put $Y_1 = y_{n_1}, Y_2 = y_{n_2}, \dots, Y_{t-1} = y_{n_{t-1}}$ with $y_{n_1}, y_{n_2}, \dots, y_{n_{t-1}}$ being positive numbers and satisfy condition: $0 < y_{n_1}, y_{n_2}, \dots, y_{n_{t-1}} \leq y_N$.

Thus, (2.9) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_t}^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t} &\stackrel{as}{=} \bigcup_{y_{n_1} \leq u \prod_{k=1}^1 (1 + i_{c_k}) + x_{m_1}} (Y_1 = y_{n_1}) \cap \\
 &\left(\left(Y_2 \leq u \prod_{k=1}^2 (1 + i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^1 (x_{m_k} - y_{n_k}) \prod_{j=k+1}^2 (1 + i_{c_j}) + x_{m_2} \right) \cap \right. \\
 &\left. \left(Y_3 \leq u \prod_{k=1}^3 (1 + i_{c_k}) + \left[(x_{m_1} - y_{n_1}) + \sum_{k=2}^2 (x_{m_k} - y_{n_k}) \right] \prod_{j=k+1}^3 (1 + i_{c_j}) + x_{m_3} \right) \cap \dots \right. \\
 &\left. \dots \cap \left(Y_t \leq u \prod_{k=1}^t (1 + i_{c_k}) + \left[(x_{m_1} - y_{n_1}) + \sum_{k=2}^{t-1} (x_{m_k} - y_{n_k}) \right] \prod_{j=k+1}^t (1 + i_{c_j}) + x_{m_t} \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \stackrel{as}{=} \bigcup_{y_{n_1} \leq \left(u \prod_{k=1}^1 (1+i_{c_k}) + x_{m_1} \right)} (Y_1 = y_{n_1}) \cap \\
 & \left(\bigcup_{y_{n_2} \leq \left(u \prod_{k=1}^2 (1+i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^1 (x_{m_k} - y_{n_k}) \prod_{j=k+1}^2 (1+i_{c_j}) + x_{m_2} \right)} (Y_2 = y_{n_2}) \cap \right. \\
 & \left. \left(\bigcup_{y_{n_3} \leq \left(u \prod_{k=1}^3 (1+i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^2 (x_{m_k} - y_{n_k}) \prod_{j=k+1}^3 (1+i_{c_j}) + x_{m_3} \right)} (Y_3 = y_{n_3}) \cap \dots \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \dots \cap \left(Y_t \leq u \prod_{k=1}^t (1+i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} (x_{m_k} - y_{n_k}) \prod_{j=k+1}^t (1+i_{c_j}) + x_{m_t} \right) \dots \right) \right). \tag{2.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using by assumption 2.3, we put $Y_t = y_{n_t}$ with y_{n_t} being positive number and satisfy condition $0 < y_{n_t} \leq y_N$ then (2.9) can be rearranged as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{C}_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t}^{c_1 c_2 \dots c_t} \stackrel{as}{=} \bigcup_{y_{n_1} \leq \left(u \prod_{k=1}^1 (1+i_{c_k}) + x_{m_1} \right)} \left(\bigcup_{y_{n_2} \leq \left(u \prod_{k=1}^2 (1+i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^1 (x_{m_k} - y_{n_k}) \prod_{j=k+1}^2 (1+i_{c_j}) + x_{m_2} \right)} \left(\bigcup_{y_{n_3} \leq \left(u \prod_{k=1}^3 (1+i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^2 (x_{m_k} - y_{n_k}) \prod_{j=k+1}^3 (1+i_{c_j}) + x_{m_3} \right)} \dots \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \dots \left(\bigcup_{y_{n_t} \leq \left(u \prod_{k=1}^t (1+i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} (x_{m_k} - y_{n_k}) \prod_{j=k+1}^t (1+i_{c_j}) + x_{m_t} \right)} \left\{ (Y_1 = y_{n_1}) \cap \dots \cap (Y_t = y_{n_t}) \right\} \dots \right) \right) \right) \tag{2.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 2.1 in [6], $u \prod_{k=1}^1 (1+i_{c_k}) + x_{m_1}$,

$u \prod_{k=1}^2 (1+i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^1 (x_{m_k} - y_{n_k}) \prod_{j=k+1}^2 (1+i_{c_j}) + x_{m_2}, \dots,$
 $u \prod_{k=1}^t (1+i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^t (x_{m_k} - y_{n_k}) \prod_{j=k+1}^t (1+i_{c_j}) + x_{m_t}$ are positive numbers and
 $0 < y_{n_1}, y_{n_2}, \dots, y_{n_t} \leq y_N$ then, we define

$$g_1 = \max \left\{ n_1 : y_{n_1} \leq \min \left\{ u \prod_{k=1}^1 (1+i_{c_k}) + x_{m_1}, y_N \right\} \right\},$$

$$g_2 = \max \left\{ n_2 : y_{n_2} \leq \min \left\{ u \prod_{k=1}^2 (1+i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^1 (x_{m_k} - y_{n_k}) \prod_{j=k+1}^2 (1+i_{c_j}) + x_{m_2}, y_N \right\} \right\},$$

...

$$g_t = \max \left\{ n_t : y_{n_t} \leq \min \left\{ u \prod_{k=1}^t (1+i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} (x_{m_k} - y_{n_k}) \prod_{j=k+1}^t (1+i_{c_j}) + x_{m_t}, y_N \right\} \right\}$$

Thus, (2.11) can be rearranged as

$$C_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t}^{c_1 c_2 \dots c_t} \stackrel{as}{=} \bigcup_{1 \leq n_1 \leq g_1} \bigcup_{1 \leq n_2 \leq g_2} \dots \bigcup_{1 \leq n_t \leq g_t} \left\{ (Y_1 = y_{n_1}) \cap (Y_2 = y_{n_2}) \cap \dots \cap (Y_t = y_{n_t}) \right\}. \quad (2.12)$$

Because $Y = \{Y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a homogeneous Markov chain then

$$P \left[(Y_1 = y_{n_1}) \cap (Y_2 = y_{n_2}) \cap \dots \cap (Y_t = y_{n_t}) \right]$$

$$= P(Y_1 = y_{n_1}) \cdot P(Y_2 = y_{n_2} | Y_1 = y_{n_1}) \dots P(Y_t = y_{n_t} | Y_1 = y_{n_1}) = q_{n_1} q_{n_1 n_2} \dots q_{n_{t-1} n_t}$$

In the other hand, system of events

$\left\{ (Y_1 = y_{n_1}) \cap (Y_2 = y_{n_2}) \cap \dots \cap (Y_t = y_{n_t}) \right\}_{1 \leq n_j \leq g_j (j=1, \dots, t)}$ in (2.12) be incompatible then

$$P(B_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t}) = \sum_{1 \leq n_1 \leq g_1} \sum_{1 \leq n_2 \leq g_2} \dots \sum_{1 \leq n_t \leq g_t} q_{n_1} q_{n_1 n_2} \dots q_{n_{t-1} n_t}. \quad (2.13)$$

By X, Y, I are assumed to be independent, with c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t and m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t hold then

$A_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_t}, B_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t}, C_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_t}^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t}$ are independent events.

In addition, system of events $\{A_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_t} \cap B_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t} \cap C_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_t}^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t}\}_{c_j=1, \overline{R}; m_j=1, \overline{M} (j=1, t)}$ in (2.8) is incompatible.

Therefore, using (2.8) combining (2.6), (2.7) and (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_t^{(1)}(u) &= P(A) \\
 &= \sum_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t=1}^R \left(\sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t=1}^M P\{A_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_t} \cap B_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t} \cap C_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_t}^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t}\} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t=1}^R \left(\sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t=1}^M P(A_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_t}) \cdot P(B_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t}) \cdot P(C_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_t}^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t}) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t=1}^R \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t=1}^M P(A_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_t}) \cdot P(B_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t}) \\
 &\quad \left(\sum_{1 \leq n_1 \leq g_1} \sum_{1 \leq n_2 \leq g_2} \dots \sum_{1 \leq n_t \leq g_t} q_{n_1} q_{n_1 n_2} \dots q_{n_{t-1} n_t} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t=1}^R \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t=1}^M r_{c_1} r_{c_1 c_2} \dots r_{c_{t-1} c_t} p_{m_1} p_{m_1 m_2} \dots p_{m_{t-1} m_t} \cdot \\
 &\quad \left(\sum_{1 \leq n_1 \leq g_1} \sum_{1 \leq n_2 \leq g_2} \dots \sum_{1 \leq n_t \leq g_t} q_{n_1} q_{n_1 n_2} \dots q_{n_{t-1} n_t} \right). \tag{2.14}
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.1. If model (1.1) satisfies assumptions 2.1 to 2.4, then finite time ruin probability of model (1.1) is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t^{(1)}(u) &= 1 - \phi_t^{(1)}(u) \\ &= \sum_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t=1}^R \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t=1}^M r_{c_1} r_{c_2} \dots r_{c_t} P_{m_1} P_{m_2} \dots P_{m_t} \left(\sum_{1 \leq n_1 \leq g_1} \sum_{1 \leq n_2 \leq g_2} \dots \sum_{1 \leq n_t \leq g_t} q_{n_1} q_{n_2} \dots q_{n_t} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Remark 2.1.

Fomula (2.4) (or (2.15) gives a method to compute exactly finite time non-ruin (ruin) probability of model (1.1) which $X = \{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $Y = \{Y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ are homogeneous Markov chains, they take values in a finite set of positive numbers and $I = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a homogeneous Markov chain and they take values in a finite set of non- negative numbers.

Let model (1.2) satisfy assumptions 2.1 to 2.5.

From (1.2), we have:

$$U_t = u \cdot \prod_{k=1}^t (1 + I_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \left((X_k (1 + I_k) - Y_k) \prod_{j=k+1}^t (1 + I_j) \right) + X_t - Y_t \quad (2.16)$$

Supposing that the ruin time of model (1.2) is defined by $T_u = \inf \{j : U_j < 0\}$, where $\inf \phi = \infty$.

We define the finite time ruin (non-ruin) probabilities of model (1.2) with assumptions 2.1 to 2.5, respectively, by

$$\psi_t^{(2)}(u) = P(T_u \leq t) = P\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^t (U_k < 0)\right), \quad (2.17)$$

$$\phi_t^{(2)}(u) = 1 - \psi_t^{(2)}(u) = P(T_u \geq t + 1) = P\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^t (U_k \geq 0)\right). \quad (2.19)$$

Next, we give an exact formula for finite time ruin (non ruin) probability of model (1.2).

Theorem 2.2. If model (1.2) satisfies assumptions 2.1 to 2.5, then finite time non-ruin probability of model (1.2) is defined by

$$\varphi_t^{(2)}(u) = \sum_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t=1}^R \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t=1}^M r_{c_1} r_{c_2} \dots r_{c_{t-1} c_t} p_{m_1} p_{m_2} \dots p_{m_{t-1} m_t} \cdot \left(\sum_{1 \leq n_1 \leq g_1} \sum_{1 \leq n_2 \leq g_2} \dots \sum_{1 \leq n_t \leq g_t} q_{n_1} q_{n_2} \dots q_{n_{t-1} n_t} \right), \quad (2.20)$$

where

$$g_1 = \max \left\{ n_1 : y_{n_1} \leq \min \left\{ u \prod_{k=1}^1 (1+i_{c_k}) + x_{m_1} (1+i_{c_1}), y_N \right\} \right\},$$

$$g_2 = \max \left\{ n_2 : y_{n_2} \leq \min \left\{ u \prod_{k=1}^2 (1+i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^1 (x_{m_k} (1+i_{c_k}) - y_{n_k}) \prod_{j=k+1}^2 (1+i_{c_j}) + x_{m_2} (1+i_{c_2}), y_N \right\} \right\},$$

$$g_t = \max \left\{ n_t : y_{n_t} \leq \min \left\{ u \prod_{k=1}^t (1+i_{c_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} (x_{m_k} (1+i_{c_k}) - y_{n_k}) \prod_{j=k+1}^t (1+i_{c_j}) + x_{m_t} (1+i_{c_t}), y_N \right\} \right\}.$$

Proof.

We proof similarly as Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. If model (1.2) satisfies assumptions 2.1 to 2.5, then finite time ruin probability of model (1.2) is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t^{(2)}(u) &= 1 - \varphi_t^{(2)}(u) \\ &= 1 - \sum_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t=1}^R \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t=1}^M (r_{c_1} r_{c_2} \dots r_{c_{t-1} c_t}) \cdot (p_{m_1} p_{m_2} \dots p_{m_{t-1} m_t}) \left(\sum_{1 \leq n_1 \leq g_1} \sum_{1 \leq n_2 \leq g_2} \dots \sum_{1 \leq n_t \leq g_t} q_{n_1} q_{n_2} \dots q_{n_{t-1} n_t} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Remark 2.2. Formula (2.20) (or (2.21)) give a method to compute exact finite time non-ruin (ruin) probability of model (1.2) which $X = \{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $Y = \{Y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ are homogeneous Markov chains and they take values in a finite set of positive numbers. In addition, $I = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a homogeneous Markov chain and they take values in a finite set of non- negative numbers.

3. Conclusion

Using technique of classical probability with u, t are positive integer numbers, claims and premiums which all are positive numbers and interests are non – negative numbers, this paper constructed an exact formula for ruin (non-ruin) probability for model (1.1) and model (1.2) where sequences of claims, premiums and interests are homogeneous Markov chains. Our main results in this paper are Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2.

References

- Cai, J. (2002a) Discrete time risk models under rates of interest. *Probability in the Engineering and Informational Sciences*, **16**, 309-324.
- Cai, J. (2002b) Ruin probabilities with dependent rates of interest, *Journal of Applied Probability*, **39**, 312-323.
- Cai, J. and Dickson, D. CM (2004) Ruin Probabilities with a Markov chain interest model. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, **35**, 513-525.
- Claude Lefèvre, Stéphane Loisel (2008), *On finite - time ruin probabilities for classical models*, *Scandinavian Actuarial Journal*, Volume 2008, **Issue 1**, 56-68.
- Promislow, S. D. (1991) The probability of ruin in a process with dependent increments. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, **10**, 99-107.
- Bui Khoi Dam, Phung Duy Quang (2014), Finite – time ruin probabilities in a generalized risk processes under interest force, *Mathematica Aeterna*, **Vol. 4, No.4**, 351-369.
- Nguyen Thi Thuy Hong (2013), On finite-time ruin probabilities for general risk models, *East – West Journal of Mathematics*, **Vol.15, No.1**, 86-101.
- Phung Duy Quang (2013), Computing ruin probability in generalized risk processes under constant interest force, *International Journal of Probability and Statistics*, **Vol. 2, No.2**, 35-41.
- Sundt, B. and Teugels, J. L (1995) Ruin estimates under interest force, *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, **16**, 7-22.
- Sundt, B. and Teugels, J. L. (1997) The adjustment function in ruin estimates under interest force. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, **19**, 85-94.
- Xu, L. and Wang, R. (2006) Upper bounds for ruin probabilities in an autoregressive risk model with Markov chain interest rate, *Journal of Industrial and Management optimization*, **Vol.2 No.2**,165- 175.
- Yang, H. (1999) Non – exponential bounds for ruin probability with interest effect included, *Scandinavian Actuarial Journal*, **2**, 66-79.