

On Pre-Hilbert Algebras Containing a nonzero Central Idempotent f such that $\|fa\| = \|a\|$ and $\|a^2\| \leq \|a\|^2$

Noureddine Motya¹, Hakima Mouanis² & Abdelhadi Moutassim³

Abstract

Let A be a real pre-Hilbert algebra without divisors of zero, we prove that if A has dimension two and satisfying $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$, for all $a \in A$, Then A is isomorphic to a new classes of two dimensional pre-Hilbert algebras. We also characterize the pre-Hilbert algebraic algebras without divisors of zero and containing a nonzero central idempotent f such that $\|fa\| = \|a\|$ and $\|a^2\| \leq \|a\|^2$, to be flexible algebras. Furthermore, we prove that if A contains a nonzero central idempotent f such that $\|fa\| = \|a\|$ and $\|a^2\| \leq \|a\|^2$ for all a in A , then the following statements are equivalent:

1. A is power commutative
2. A is third power associative
3. A is algebraic of degree two.

Key Words: Pre-Hilbert algebras, flexible, central idempotent, third power associative algebras.

1 – Introduction

Let A be a non-necessarily associative real algebra which is normed as real vector space. We say that a real algebra is a pre-Hilbert algebra, if it's norm $\|\cdot\|$ come from an inner product $(\cdot|\cdot)$, and it's said to be absolute valued algebras, if it's norm satisfy the equality $\|ab\| = \|a\|\|b\|$, for all $a, b \in A$. We recall that the set of pre-Hilbert absolute valued algebras is contained in the set of pre-Hilbert algebras satisfying the identity $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$. Note that, the norm of any absolute valued algebras containing a nonzero central idempotent (or finite dimensional) come from an inner product [2] and [3]. We assume that A is pre-Hilbert algebra, without divisors of zero and satisfying $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$. An interesting Rodriguez's theorem [6] assert that every two-dimensional real absolute valued algebra is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^*, * \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{C} (the real algebras obtained by endowing the space \mathbb{C} with the product $x * y = \bar{x}y, x * y = x\bar{y}$, and $x * y = \bar{x}\bar{y}$ respectively). We extend the above mentioned theorem to more general situation, indeed, we prove that if A has dimension two, then A is isomorphic to a new classes of two-dimensional pre-Hilbert algebras (section 3). Also we show, in section 4, that if A is algebraic algebra and contains a nonzero central idempotent f such that $\|fa\| = \|a\|$ and $\|a^2\| \leq \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$, then the following assertion are equivalent:

- i) A is flexible.
- ii) A has degree two and if $\{f, u, v\}$ is an orthogonal family, then $\{f, u, v, uv\}$ is too, where $u, v \in V := \{w \in A | (w|f) = 0\}$.

¹ Laboratoire des Sciences Mathématique et Application (LASMA), Faculté des Sciences Dhar El Mahraz Fès, Maroc.
E-mail : noureddine.motya@usmba.ac.ma

² Laboratoire des Sciences Mathématique et Application (LASMA), Faculté des Sciences Dhar El Mahraz Fès, Maroc.
E-mail : hmouanis@yahoo.com

³ Centre Régional des Métier de l'Education et de la Formation, Casablanca-Settat Annexe Provinciale Settat, Maroc
E-mail : moutassim-1972@hotmail.fr

And the counter example is given. Moreover, we prove that if A contains a nonzero central idempotent f such that $\|fa\| = \|a\|$ and $\|a^2\| \leq \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$, then the following statements are equivalent:

1. A is power commutative
2. A is third power associative
3. A is algebraic of degree two.

2 – Notation and preliminaries results

In this paper all the algebras are considered over the real numbers field \mathbb{R} .

Definition 2.1 Let B be an arbitrary algebra.

- i - B is called flexible, if it's satisfy the identity $(x, y, x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in B$ (where $(., ., .) = 0$ denote the associator).
- ii - We say that B is third power associative, if it's satisfy the identity $(x, x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in B$.
- iii - B is said power commutative if any sub-algebras generated by a single element is commutative.
- iv - B is called a division algebra if the operators L_x and R_x of left and right multiplication by x are bijective for all $x \in B \setminus \{0\}$.

v - An element a in B is said to be algebraic (of degree n) if the sub-algebra $B(a)$ generated by a is finite dimensional (of dimension n). We say that B is algebraic if all its elements are algebraic. B is said to be algebraic of bounded degree if there exist a non-negative integer number n such that $dim B \leq m$ for any element a in B . If this is the case, then the small such number m is called the degree of B . Clearly every finite-dimensional algebra is algebraic of bounded degree. The (1, 2, 4, 8) theorem show that the degree of every finite-dimensional real division algebra is 1, 2, 4 or 8.

We need the following relevant results:

Proposition 2.2 [1] If $\{x_i\}$ is a set of commuting element in a flexible algebra A over a field characteristic not two. Then the sub-algebra generated by the $\{x_i\}$ is commutative.

Theorem 2.3 [5]. Let A be a real commutative algebraic algebra without divisors of zero, then $dim A \leq 2$.

Lemma 2.4 [7]. Every algebra in which $x^2 = 0$ only if $x = 0$, contains a nonzero idempotent.

3 – Two dimensional pre-Hilbert algebras, satisfying $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$

Firstly, we would like to consider the general situation of a two-dimensional real algebra A . let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be a basis of A and $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu, \alpha', \beta', \lambda', \mu' \in \mathbb{R}$. The product in A is determined by the multiplication table

	e_1	e_2
e_1	$\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2$	$\lambda e_1 + \mu e_2$
e_2	$\alpha' e_1 + \beta' e_2$	$\lambda' e_1 + \mu' e_2$

(1)

Theorem 3.1. The algebra A determined by the table (1) is division algebra if and only if

- 1) $4(\alpha\mu - \beta\lambda) (\alpha'\mu' - \beta'\lambda') > (\alpha'\mu + \alpha\mu' - \beta'\lambda - \beta\lambda')^2$.
- 2) $4(\alpha\beta' - \beta\alpha') (\lambda\mu' - \mu\lambda') > (\alpha'\mu - \alpha\mu' - \beta'\lambda + \beta\lambda')^2$.

Proof. Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be a basis of A such that the multiplication of A is given by the table (1). Then for an arbitrary element $a = xe_1 + ye_2$ in A , we have

$$L_a(e_1) = (x\alpha + y\alpha')e_1 + (x\beta + y\beta')e_2 \text{ and } L_a(e_2) = (x\lambda + y\lambda')e_1 + (x\mu + y\mu')e_2$$

So the matrix of L_a in the above basis can be expressed as follow

$$M_{L_a} = \begin{pmatrix} x\alpha + y\alpha' & x\lambda + y\lambda' \\ x\beta + y\beta' & x\mu + y\mu' \end{pmatrix}$$

We have

$$det(M_{L_a}) = x^2(\alpha\mu - \beta\lambda) + xy(\alpha'\mu + \alpha\mu' - \beta'\lambda - \beta\lambda') + y^2(\alpha'\mu' - \beta'\lambda')$$

So A is a division algebra if and only if $det(M_{L_a}) \neq 0$, which is equivalent to

$$4(\alpha\mu - \beta\lambda) (\alpha'\mu' - \beta'\lambda') > (\alpha'\mu + \alpha\mu' - \beta'\lambda - \beta\lambda')^2.$$

By the same way we have the right multiplication of a , R_a is invertible if and only if $det(M_{R_a}) \neq 0$, which is

equivalent to $4(\alpha\beta' - \beta\alpha')(\lambda\mu' - \mu\lambda') > (\alpha'\mu - \alpha\mu' - \beta'\lambda + \beta\lambda')^2$. □

Let $A_1(\gamma, \delta)$, $A_2(\gamma, \delta)$, and $A_3(\gamma, \delta)$, be the real pre-Hilbert algebras defined by the multiplication tables (2), (3) and (4) respectively, with $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*$ (\mathbb{R}^* : the set of nonzero real numbers). And let $\{e, u\}$ be an orthonormal basis (where e is a nonzero idempotent)

	e	u
e	e	$\gamma e + \delta u$
u	$-\gamma e - \delta u$	e

(2)
 $A_1(\gamma, \delta)$

	e	u
e	e	$\gamma e + \delta u$
u	$-\gamma e + (2 - \delta)u$	$-e$

(3)
 $A_2(\gamma, \delta)$

	e	u
e	e	$\gamma e + \delta u$
u	$-\gamma e - (2 + \delta)u$	$-e$

(4)
 $A_3(\gamma, \delta)$

Remark 3.2

- i) The real algebra given by table (2) is a division algebra for all $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*$
- ii) The real algebra given by table (3) is a division algebra, if and only if, $\gamma^2 + \delta^2 < 2\delta$
- iii) The real algebra given by table (4) is a division algebra, if and only if $\gamma^2 + \delta^2 < -2\delta$

Proof. Consequence of the theorem 3.1 □

Lemma 3.3 The algebras $A_1(\gamma, \delta)$, $A_2(\gamma, \delta)$, and $A_3(\gamma, \delta)$ satisfies the identity $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$ and $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*$.

Proof. According to remark 3.2, $A_1(\gamma, \delta)$, with $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}^*$, is a two-dimensional real division algebra. And let $a \in A_1(\gamma, \delta)$, can be written as $a = \epsilon e + \zeta u$ (where $\{e, u\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $A_1(\gamma, \delta)$). So by a simple calculation we have $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$, similarly proof for the others cases $A_2(\gamma, \delta)$, and $A_3(\gamma, \delta)$. □

Lemma 3.4 Let A be a real pre-Hilbert algebra, without divisors of zero, and satisfying $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$. Then the following equalities hold for all orthogonal elements $x, y \in A$:

- 1) $(x^2|xy + yx) = 0$
- 2) $\|xy + yx\|^2 + 2(x^2|y^2) = 2\|x\|^2\|y\|^2$

Proof. The equality $\|x^2\|^2 = (\|x\|^2)^2$ gives meaning to a polynomial P with real coefficients of degree ≤ 3 in λ , identically null, such that:

$$P(\lambda) = 2(x^2|xy + yx)\lambda^3 + (\|xy + yx\|^2 + 2(x^2|y^2) - 2\|x\|^2\|y\|^2)\lambda^2 + 2(y^2|xy + yx)\lambda.$$

Thus

- 1) $(x^2|xy + yx) = 0$
- 2) $\|xy + yx\|^2 + 2(x^2|y^2) = 2\|x\|^2\|y\|^2$. □

Now we can state our main result in this section

Theorem 3.5 Let A be a two-dimensional real pre-Hilbert algebra, without divisors of zero, and satisfying $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$. Then, A is isomorphic to $A_1(\gamma, \delta)$, $A_2(\gamma, \delta)$, or $A_3(\gamma, \delta)$ for all $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*$, such that $\gamma^2 + \delta^2 < \pm 2\delta$.

Proof. According to lemma 2.4, A is a two-dimensional real division algebra, containing a nonzero idempotent e . And let $\{e, u\}$ be an orthonormal basis of A . Then there exists $\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta, \delta' \in \mathbb{R}^*$, such that

$$eu = \gamma e + \delta u \text{ and } ue = \gamma' e + \delta' u.$$

We have

$eu + ue = (\gamma + \gamma')e + (\delta + \delta')u$, which means by lemma 3.4 (1) That $\gamma = -\gamma'$ and $eu + ue = (\delta + \delta')u$. Since

$$0 = (u^2|eu + ue) = (\delta + \delta')(u^2|u),$$

Then $eu + ue = 0$ or $u^2 = \pm e$, we distinguish the following cases.

case 1: If $ue + eu = 0$, then by lemma 3.4 (2) we have $(e|u^2) = 1$, so $\|u^2 - e\|^2 = 2 - 2 = 0$. Consequently $u^2 = e$, thus A is isomorphic to $A_1(\gamma, \delta)$.

case 2: If $u^2 = -e$, then $(\delta + \delta')^2 = 4$ (lemma 3.4 (2)). That is $\delta + \delta' = 2$ or $\delta + \delta' = -2$

i) If $\delta + \delta' = 2$ then $\delta' = 2 - \delta$. So A is isomorphic to $A_2(\gamma, \delta)$.

ii) If $\delta + \delta' = -2$ then $\delta' = -2 - \delta$. So A is isomorphic to $A_3(\gamma, \delta)$. □

We get the following results.

Corollary 3.6 Let A be a two-dimensional real pre-Hilbert algebra, containing a nonzero central idempotent e , without divisors of zero and satisfying $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$. Then A is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{C}^* .

Proof. According to theorem 3.5, the algebra A is isomorphic to $A_1(\gamma, \delta), A_2(\gamma, \delta)$, or $A_3(\gamma, \delta)$ for all $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*$, such that $\gamma^2 + \delta^2 < \pm 2\delta$. Since e is a central idempotent, then we have the following cases:

i) If A is isomorphic to $A_1(\gamma, \delta)$, then $eu = -ue$ which is absurd.

ii) If A is isomorphic to $A_2(\gamma, \delta)$, then $\gamma = 0$ and $\delta = 1$. So A is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}

iii) If A is isomorphic to $A_3(\gamma, \delta)$, then $\gamma = 0$ and $\delta = -1$. So A is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* . □

Corollary 3.7 Let A be a two-dimensional real third power associative pre-Hilbert algebra, without divisors of zero and satisfying $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$. Then A is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{C}^* .

Proof. According to theorem 3.5, the algebra A is isomorphic to $A_1(\gamma, \delta), A_2(\gamma, \delta)$, or $A_3(\gamma, \delta)$ for all $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*$, such that $\gamma^2 + \delta^2 < \pm 2\delta$. The identity $(u, u, u) = 0$ imply that $eu = ue$, so e is a nonzero central idempotent. We conclude that A is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{C}^* (Corollary 3.6). □

Now we conclude the theorem of A. Rodriguez

Corollary 3.8 Let A be a two-dimensional real absolute valued algebra. Then A is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^*, * \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{C}^* .

Proof. Since A is a finite-dimensional real absolute valued algebra, then A satisfying $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$. and it's norm comes from an inner product [2]. Using theorem 3.5, the algebra A is isomorphic to $A_1(\gamma, \delta), A_2(\gamma, \delta)$, or $A_3(\gamma, \delta)$ for all $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*$, such that $\gamma^2 + \delta^2 < \pm 2$. We have

$$(ue|e) = \pm(ue|u^2) = \pm(u|e) = 0 \text{ and } (eu|e) = \pm(eu|u^2) = \pm(u|e) = 0$$

This imply that the two elements ue and u (respectively eu and u) are linearly dependent, thus $\gamma = 0$. Therefore

i) If A is isomorphic to $A_1(\gamma, \delta)$, then the identity $eu = -ue = \pm u$ imply that A is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* or $* \mathbb{C}$

ii) If A is isomorphic to $A_2(\gamma, \delta)$, then the identity $\|eu\| = \|ue\| = \|u\|\|e\| = 1$, imply that, $\delta = 1$ which means that A is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} .

iii) If A is isomorphic to $A_3(\gamma, \delta)$, then the identity $\|eu\| = \|ue\| = \|u\|\|e\| = 1$, imply that, $\delta = -1$ which means that A is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* . □

4 - Pre-Hilbert algebras containing a nonzero central idempotent f such that $\|fa\| = \|a\|$ and $\|a^2\| \leq \|a\|^2$

We begin with the following preliminary results.

Proposition 4.1 Let A be real pre-Hilbert algebra containing a nonzero central idempotent f such that $\|fa\| = \|a\|$ and $\|a^2\| \leq \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$. Then the following equalities hold:

i) $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$

ii) $a^2 - 2(a|f)fa + \|a\|^2 = 0$

Proof. i) Let $a \in A$, having an orthogonal sum decomposition $\lambda f + u$, the equality $\|a^2\|^2 \leq (\|a\|^2)^2$ can be written $\|\lambda^2 + 2\lambda f + u^2\|^2 \leq (\lambda^2 + \|u\|^2)^2$ (1)

As $\|fx\| = \|x\|$, then $(fx|f) = (f|x)$ for all $x \in A$. The development of (1) gives

$$2\lambda^2((f|u^2) + \|u\|^2) + 4\lambda(fu|u^2) + \|u^2\|^2 - \|u\|^4 \leq 0 \quad ((f|u) = 0) \quad (2)$$

We replace λ by $-\lambda$ we get

$$2\lambda^2((f|u^2) + \|u\|^2) - 4\lambda(fu|u^2) + \|u^2\|^2 - \|u\|^4 \leq 0 \quad (3)$$

We add (2) and (3), we have

$$2\lambda^2((f|u^2) + \|u\|^2) + \|u^2\|^2 - \|u\|^4 \leq 0 \quad (4)$$

Since (4) hold for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\|u^2\|^2 - \|u\|^4 \leq 0$, then $(f|u^2) \leq -\|u\|^2$.

According to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$|(f|u^2)| \leq \|f\|\|u^2\| \leq \|u\|^2.$$

Then $(f|u^2) = -\|u\|^2$ also $\|u^2\| = \|u\|^2$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^2 + \|u\|^2 f\|^2 &= \|u\|^2 + 2\|u\|^2(u^2|f) + \|u\|^2\|f\|^2 \\ &= \|u\|^4 - 2\|u\|^4 + \|u\|^4 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

hence $u^2 = -\|u\|^2 f$. On the other hand $a^2 = \lambda^2 f + 2\lambda fu + u^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|a^2\|^2 &= \|(\lambda^2 - \|u\|^2)f + 2\lambda fu\|^2 \\ &= (\lambda^2 - \|u\|^2)^2 + 4\lambda^2\|u\|^2 \quad ((fu|f) = (f|u) = 0) \\ &= (\lambda^2 + \|u\|^2)^2 \\ &= \|a\|^4 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$

ii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 &= \lambda^2 f + 2\lambda fu + u^2 \\ &= -\lambda^2 f + 2\lambda f(\lambda f + u) - \|u\|^2 f \\ &= 2\lambda f(\lambda f + u) - (\lambda^2 + \|u\|^2)f \\ &= 2(f|a)fa - \|a\|^2 f. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Remark 4.2 Let $V = \{u \in A \mid (u|f) = 0\}$, then the following equalities hold:

i) $V = \{u \in A \mid u^2 = -\|u\|^2 f\}$

ii) $uv + vu = -2(u|v)f$ for all $u, v \in V$.

iii) The product $x \wedge y = xy - (xy|f)f$ for all $x, y \in V$ endows V of an anti-commutative algebra structure

Proof.

i) According to proposition 4.1 (i).

ii) Let $x, y \in V$, we have $x + y \in V$. Then $(x + y)^2 = -\|x + y\|^2 f$ thus $xy + yx = -2(x|y)f$.

iii) Let $x, y \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \wedge y + y \wedge x &= xy - (xy|f)f + yx - (yx|f)f \\ &= xy + yx - (xy + yx|f)f \\ &= -2(x|y)f + 2(x|y)f \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.3. Let A be a real pre-Hilbert algebraic algebra of degree two, without divisors of zero and containing a nonzero central idempotent f such that $\|fa\| = \|a\|$ and $\|a^2\| \leq \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$. Then $A(f, v) = A(v)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{C} , for every $v \in V$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $fv = v$ or $fv = -v$ for every $v \in V$, without loss of generality we will assume that $v \neq 0$, according to remark 4.2 (i), $v^2 = -\|v\|^2 f$. since A is algebraic algebra of degree two and satisfying $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$ (proposition 4.1 (i)), then by corollary 3.6 A is power-commutative algebra. It is well known that $(aa^2)a = a(a^2a)$ for any element a in A , hence

$$v(v^2v) = -\|v\|^2 v(fv) \text{ and } (v^2v)v = -\|v\|^2 (fv)v.$$

So $v(fv) = (vf)v$, as $\|vf\| = \|fv\| = \|v\|$ and $(fv|f) = (vf|f) = (v|f) = 0$, then $(vf)^2 = v^2 = -\|v\|^2 f$. So $fv = v$ or $fv = -v$, thus $A(v)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{C} . □

In the next theorem we give some conditions implying that the real pre-Hilbert algebra to be flexible algebra.

Theorem 4.4. Let A be a real pre-Hilbert algebraic algebra, without divisors of zero and containing a nonzero central idempotent f such that $\|fa\| = \|a\|$ and $\|a^2\| \leq \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

i) A is flexible;

ii) A has degree two and if $\{f, u, v\}$ is an orthogonal family, then $\{f, u, v, uv\}$ is too, where $u, v \in V$.

Proof. $i) \Rightarrow ii)$ Assume that A is flexible algebra, according to proposition 2.2 and theorem 2.3, A has degree two. Let $w := uv - (uv|f)f - (uv|u)u - (uv|v)v$, where $u, v \in V$, without loss of generality we assume that $w \neq 0$. We have

$$(w|f) = (w|u) = (w|v) = 0$$

Since A is flexible and $uv + vu = 0$ (remark 4.2 (ii)), then

$$0 = uw + wu = 2(uv|u)f + 2(uv|f)u$$

This gives us $(uv|u) = (uv|f) = 0$, similarly $(uv|v) = 0$. Thus $\{f, u, v, uv\}$ is an orthogonal family.

$ii) \Rightarrow i)$ Let $x, y \in V$ such that $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ and $z := y - (y|x)x$, without loss of generality we can assume that $z \neq 0$, we have $(z|f) = (z|x) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (xz|x) \\ &= (x(y - (y|x)x)|x) \\ &= (xy + (y|x)f|x) \quad (x^2 = -f, \text{remark 4.2 (i)}) \\ &= (xy|x) \end{aligned}$$

The equality $\|fa\| = \|a\|$ imply that $(fa|f) = (f|a)$, for all $a \in A$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (xz|f) \\ &= (x(y - (y|x)x)|f) \\ &= (xy + (y|x)f|f) \\ &= (xy|f) + (x|y) \end{aligned}$$

This means that $(xy|f) = -(x|y)$, in the same way, we get $(yx|f) = -(x|y)$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (xy)x - x(yx) &= (x \wedge y + (xy|f)f)x - x(y \wedge x + (yx|f)f) \\ &= (x \wedge y)x + x(x \wedge y) + ((xy|f)f - (yx|f)f)x \\ &= -2(x|x \wedge y)f + ((xy|f)f - (yx|f)f)x \quad (\text{remark 4.2 (ii)}) \\ &= ((xy|f)f - (yx|f)f)x \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since A is algebraic algebra of degree two, then the sub-algebras $A(f, x)$ and $A(f, y)$ are of dimension two and isomorphic to \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{C}^* (lemma 4.3). We have the following cases:

1) If f is the only idempotent of A , then $xf = fx = x$ for all $x \in A$. Which means that A is a unit algebra, hence for all $a = \lambda f + x$ and $b = \gamma f + y$ in A , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (ab)a - a(ba) &= [(\lambda f + x)(\gamma f + y)](\lambda f + x) - (\lambda f + x)[(\gamma f + y)(\lambda f + x)] \\ &= (xy)x - x(yx) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then A is flexible.

2) If f is not unique, then $xf = fx = -x$ for all $x \in V$. Otherwise, if there exist a nonzero element $y \in V$ such that $yf = fy = y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + y) &= \pm(x + y) \\ -x + y &= \pm(x + y) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{Lemma 4.3})$$

This imply that $x = 0$ or $y = 0$, which is absurd. Therefore for all $a = \lambda f + x$ and $b = \gamma f + y$ in A , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b, a) &= (\lambda f + x, \gamma f + y, \lambda f + x) \\ &= (\lambda f, \gamma f, x) + (\lambda f, y, x) + (x, \gamma f, \lambda f) + (x, y, \lambda f) + (x, y, x) \end{aligned}$$

Or $(x, y, x) = 0$ and $(\lambda f, \gamma f, x) + (x, \gamma f, \lambda f) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b, a) &= (\lambda f, y, x) + (x, y, \lambda f) \\ &= \lambda[(fy)x - f(yx) + (xy)f - x(yf)] \\ &= \lambda[-yx - f(y \wedge x + (xy|f)f) + (x \wedge y + (xy|f)f)f + xy] \\ &= \lambda[-yx + y \wedge x - x \wedge y + xy] \quad ((xy|f) = (yx|f) = -(x|y) = \lambda[(x|y)f - (x|y)f]) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then A is flexible. □

Remark 4.5. In [4], we constructed an example of four-dimensional absolute valued algebra containing a nonzero central idempotent of degree four which is not flexible. The last imply that the condition algebraic algebra of degree two is necessary for A to be flexible.

In the rest of this section, we prove that, if A is a real pre-Hilbert algebra, without divisors of zero and contains a nonzero central idempotent f such that $\|fa\| = \|a\|$ and $\|a^2\| \leq \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. A is power commutative.
2. A is third power associative.
3. A is algebraic of degree two.

We need the following preliminary result.

Lemma 4.6. Let A be a real third power associative pre-Hilbert algebra, without divisors of zero and contains a nonzero central idempotent f such that $\|fa\| = \|a\|$ and $\|a^2\| \leq \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$, then $A(v)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{C}^* for every $v \in V$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $ev = v$ or $ev = -v$ for every $v \in V$, without loss of generality we will assume that $v \neq 0$. According to remark 4.2 (i), $v^2 = -\|v\|^2 f$ as A is a third-power associative algebra. Then a linearization of the identity $(x, x, x) = 0$ gives

$$[x^2, y] + [xy + yx, x] = 0 \tag{5}$$

where $[x, y]$ denotes the quantity $xy - yx$.

By putting $y = x^2$ in the equality (5), we get the well-known identity $(x, x^2, x) = 0$. So $(aa^2)a = a(a^2a)$ for any element a in A , hence

$$v(v^2v) = -\|v\|^2 v(fv) \text{ and } (v^2v)v = -\|v\|^2 (fv)v$$

So $v(fv) = (vf)v = (fv)v$, on the other hand $\|vf\| = \|fv\| = \|v\|$ and $(fv|f) = (v|f) = 0$. Then $(vf)^2 = v^2 = -\|v\|^2 f$. This imply $fv = v$ or $fv = -v$, thus $A(v)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{C}^* .

Note that in the general case, if the algebra A is power commutative. We have for every $a \in A$ the sub-algebra $A(a)$ is commutative, so $a^2a = aa^2$ which means that $(a, a, a) = 0$, then A is third power associative. \square

In the next theorem we have the reciprocally case.

Theorem 4.7. Let A is a real pre-Hilbert algebra, without divisors of zero and containing a nonzero central idempotent f such that $\|fa\| = \|a\|$ and $\|a^2\| \leq \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. A is power commutative;
2. A is third power associative;
3. A is algebraic of degree two.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) By definition.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Assume that A is third power associative and let $v \in V$, we have $v^2 = -\|v\|^2 f$ (proposition 4.1 (i)). By lemma 4.6, $A(a)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{C}^* , and consequently A is algebraic of degree two.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Using lemma 4.3. \square

References

- G.M. Benkart. D. J. Britten and J. M. Osborn, Real Flexible Division Algebras, Can. J. Math. Vol. XXXIV, No. 3 (1982), 550–588.
- M. L. El-Mallah, on finite dimensional absolute valued algebras satisfying $(x, x, x) = 0$, Arch Math. **49** (1987), 16–22.
- M. L. EL-Mallah, Absolute valued algebras containing a central idempotent, J. Algebra. **128** (1990), 180–187.
- A. Moutassim and M. Benslimane, Four Dimensional Absolute Valued Algebras Containing a Nonzero Central Idempotent or with Left Unit, International Journal of Algebra, Vol. **10** (2016), no. 11, 513–524.
- A. Moutassim and M. Benslimane, Generalization of the Hopf commutative theorem, Commun Algebra. **45** (2) (2017), 883–888.
- A. Rodriguez, Absolute valued algebras of degree two. In Non-associative Algebra and its applications (Ed. S. González), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston London (1994), 350–356.
- B. Segre, La teoria delle algebre ed alcune questione di realta, Univ. Roma, Ist. Naz. Alta. Mat., Rend. Mat. E Appl. Serie **5.13** (1954), 157–188.